Description

Method of carrying out quality control for an analysis process and device for carrying out the method

The invention relates to a method of carrying out quality control for an analysis process which takes place in an analyzer and consists of a chain of sub-processes, and to a device for carrying out the method. It relates in particular to quality assurance for biochemical analyzers, especially for medical diagnosis and particularly when using one of the technologies comprising biochips, "labs on the chip" and μTAS ("Totally Integrated Analysis Systems" involving microtechnology) as well as quality assurance for the manufacturing process of disposable sensors and other consumable articles used in the analyzer, such as reagent cartridges, sensors with limited life and maintenance-intensive components.

An analyzer which comprises an evaluation device and blood-fillable thumbsize disposable sensors intended to be inserted into the evaluation unit is known, for example, from the article by N. Aschenbrenner "Schlauer Blutsensor" [smart blood sensor], Spektrum der Wissenschaft, April 2002, pages 92 and 93. Each of the disposable sensors furthermore comprises a chip which, inter alia, carries information for the analyzer concerning which special program should be run and how the evaluation should be carried out. For the evaluation, a blood-filled disposable sensor is inserted into the evaluation unit, which then drives a pump in the disposable sensor that passes the blood over a membrane of the disposable sensor, which separates the blood red corpuscles, and delivers it into a chamber of the disposable sensor where, for example, the antigens that are contained in the blood and indicate a disease when they are in a high concentration react with specific

color-labeled antibodies to form a complex. The mixture
containing the complexes is furthermore sent by the pump
onto a prism of the disposable sensor on which further
antibodies are arranged, which capture and fix the
5   complexes. Lastly, a laser of the evaluation unit then
scans the prism and excites the color-labeled compounds to
luminesce, and a detector of the evaluation unit picks up
the fluorescent light, the intensity of the fluorescent
light being a measure of the concentration of antigens.

10   According to the prior art, quality controls in
biochemical analysis systems have to date been achieved by
measurements of individual control values, measurements of
reference analytes and random-sample comparative
measurements with gold standard measuring methods. These
15 methods, however, only offer conclusions about a few sub-
processes of the analysis process and/or only provide
integral information about a plurality of sub-processes
together. Only information that the measurement is
affected by error is usually possible, and conclusions
20 cannot be drawn as to which sub-process is causing the
error. Although this ensures reliability of the
measurement results, it is of only very limited use for
quality control in the manufacturing process of biochips
or quality control in the maintenance process of an
25 analyzer.

          It is an object of the invention to provide an
improved method of carrying out quality control for an
analysis process so that, inter alia, the aforementioned
disadvantages are mitigated.

30   The object is achieved according to the invention
by the subject-matter of claim 1. Advantageous refinements
are described in the dependent claims.

          According to claim 1, a method of carrying out
quality control for an analysis process, which belongs to
35 a group of related analysis processes that can be carried
out in at least one analyzer and respectively comprise a

chain of sub-processes, contains the following features:

- fundamental chemical and/or physical basic sub-processes for the group are stored in a first database,

5 - at least a part of the chain of the analysis process is represented by specifying one of the basic sub-processes, per sub-processes of the part of the chain, using at least one control parameter and at least one associated threshold value,

10 - measurement values of the control parameters are determined for at least one run of the analysis process, and the measurement values are compared with the associated threshold values for the quality control.

15   In the analyzer, the analysis task is thus achieved by a sequence of sub-processes, each sub-processes being a chemical reaction, for example binding of two molecules, a physical reaction, e.g. heating, a transport procedure or mixing, or a physical measuring
20 procedure. If even only one sub-processes is not carried out correctly then this generally means that the analysis result is affected by error, and the method detects this selectively for each of the quality-relevant sub-processes. The invention provides a generic quality
25 control system using electronic databases, data inputs for process observation signals (control parameters) and software for evaluating the process quality from these observation signals, so that this quality control system can be used for any type of analysis systems or biochip
30 technology and can be configured straightforwardly using a software user interface in order to be adapted for a specific analysis system or a specific biotechnology. This provides an automated quality control method which can be integrated into a biological analyzer, which cost-
35 effectively assists the analyzer maintenance and which simultaneously provides information for quality assurance

of the manufacturing process, for example of disposable sensors of the analyzer.

Other advantages, features and details of the invention will be found in the exemplary embodiments of the invention described below with reference to the figures, in which:

Figure 1 shows a structural diagram and flow chart for a method of carrying out quality control for a biochemical analysis process, and

Figure 2 shows an analyzer for carrying out the method, comprising an evaluation unit and disposable sensors which can be inserted into the evaluation unit.

As an exemplary embodiment of the invention, Figure 1 shows a structure and the procedure for a method of carrying out quality control for a biochemical analysis process which takes place in an analyzer and consists of a chain of sub-processes. For the quality control, there is a first database 110 in which all possible basic sub-processes of a group of related analysis processes are abstractly parameterized using process variables, and each of the basic sub-processes for the quality control can be characterized by at least one control parameter and by at least one threshold value in association with the control parameter. Here, the basic sub-processes describe fundamental chemical and/or physical sub-processes of the group, and these fundamental sub-processes may occur repeatedly in modified forms throughout the analysis process. In this regard, the following table shows basic sub-processes A to F of the group by way of example with conceivable process variables associated with the individual basic sub-processes. For the respective basic sub-processes A to F, at least one control parameter is furthermore provided in the form of a substitute $K(X)$, which should not lie below a lower threshold value $min(X)$ and/or should not exceed an upper threshold value $max(X)$ for the purpose of the quality control, X standing as a

substitute for one of the basic sub-processes A to F. Without restriction of generality, an analyzer as described in the introduction should be thought of for better comprehension of the following table.

Basic sub-process	Process type	Process variables	Control parameter	Lower threshold value	Upper threshold value
A	chemical binding	reagents; volumes; …	K(A)	min(A)	max(A)
B	surface immobiliza-tion	capture molecule; target molecule; immobilization times; control substances; …	K(B)	min(B)	max(B)
C	liquid transport	volumes; flow rates; transport times; …	K(C)	min(C)	max(C)
D	mixing	mixing components; mixing times; mixing temperature; …	K(D)	min(D)	max(D)
E	demixing	initial mixtures; target components; demixing times; demixing temperature; demixing medium; …	K(E)	min(E)	max(E)
F	portioning	reagents; volumes; portioning media; …	K(F)	min(F)	max(F)

         Starting with the basic sub-processes described in
the first database 110, a second database 120 which
compiles the actual analysis process of the analyzer from
the basic sub-processes, and which describes it
5   sufficiently completely, is generated in a first step 150
of Figure 1. A suitable graphical user interface is used
for this, which involves methods known from the prior art
such as drag-and-drop, drop-down lists and/or checking
list elements with a mouse click. For example, the chain
10 of sub-processes is generated by dragging and dropping
icons of the basic sub-processes, and the process
variables and control parameters are established with the
aid of selection from drop-down lists. To this end, the
analyzer comprises a correspondingly designed computer
15 workstation or is set up so that it can be connected to
one. In some embodiments, the representation of the
analysis process may be carried out at a central computer
workstation with a corresponding graphical user interface,
and the resulting database may be loaded in the scope of a
20 manufacturing process of the analyzer into a memory
intended for this in the analyzer, in which case the
memory may even be a memory of disposable sensors of the
analyzer, which can be put into a basic unit of the
analyzer in order to carry out the analysis process. For
25 complete description of the timing sequence of the
analysis process, each of the basic sub-processes
contained in the first database 110 may occur repeatedly
in the real process chain of the analysis process so that,
if a basic sub-process occurs repeatedly, this sub-process
30 should be labeled with a sequential number in the second
database 120. The following table shows an example of
this.

Sub-process	Process type	Process variables	Control parameter	Lower threshold value	Upper threshold value
E1	demixing	full blood as initial mixture; plasma as target component; demixing time	refractive index as K(E1)	min(E1) = 1.2	-
B1	surface immobilization	first antibody as capture molecule; antigen as target molecule; control analyte as control substance	first reference signal and as K(B1)	min(B1) = 0.4	max(B1) = 0.9
C1	liquid transport	storage volume and transport volume; transport time	conductance as K(C1)	-	max(C1) = 5.0
F1	portioning	portioning volume; piezoceramic as portioning medium	light absorption as K(F1)	min(F1) = 12.5	-
A1	chemical reaction	plasma and solution as reagents; portioning volume as reagent volume	temperature difference as K(A1)	min(A1) = 0.2	-
D1	mixing	antigen in portioning volume and magnetic beads as mixing components; mixing temperature	light absorption as K(D1)	min(D1) = 14.2	max(D1) = 39.0

E2	demixing	portioning volume as initial mixture; magnetic field demixing medium; demixing temperature	magnetic field remanence as K(E2)	min(E2) = 240.0	-
B2	surface immobilization	fluorescent antibody as capture molecule; immobilization time	second reference signal and as K(B2)	min(B2) = 1	max(B2) = 2
C2	liquid transport	portioning volume and surplus volume; transport time	refractive index as K(C2)	max(C2) = 1.15	-

The analysis process of the analyzer is thus described in the form of the second database 120, which contains all of the sub-processes E1 to D1 in their chronological order and associated characterizing features
5   of the sub-processes. Preferably, it should not be necessary for the second database 120 to actually contain all the sub-processes of the analysis process that really occur, but only those which are in fact quality-relevant for an outcome of the analysis process.
10   During operation of the analyzer, observation signals for the control parameters K(E1) to K(C2) are determined by measurement in a second step 160, are stored in a further database, for example a third database 130, and are assigned to the corresponding control parameters
15   K(E1) to K(C2). The measured observation signals may in this case also be assigned directly via a measurement value interface to the corresponding control parameters K(E1) to K(C2) of the second database 120. One of the observation signals may be a measurement value of a sensor

or detector fitted in the analyzer, for example a temperature sensor, a photoelectric barrier or a photomultiplier, or it may be a value derived from one or more measurement values. In a further step 170, in the course of each analysis procedure, the observation signals are evaluated and, in the event that a threshold value is infringed, error messages are automatically generated and reported on the analyzer. In the course of each analysis procedure, the measurement values for all the sub-processes are documented in the third database 130 and the reaching of a prescribed threshold value is evaluated, for example in the form of corresponding error flags being set. The following table shows an example of this, in which noncompliant mixing in the sub-process D1 is characterized by a "no" as the error flag since the measurement value of 7.9 lies below the lower threshold value min(D1).

Sub-process	Carried out compliantly?	Measurement value for the respective control parameter
E1	yes	1.3
B1	yes	0.45
C1	yes	3.25
F1	yes	24.9
A1	yes	0.3
D1	no	7.9
E2	...	...
B2	...	...
C2	...	...

In other embodiments, the event of exceeding and/or lying below the threshold values may also be stored in the form of a percentage deviation in the third database 130. Furthermore, the analysis procedure may be terminated immediately with a corresponding error message on the analyzer if one of the control parameters K(E1) to K(C2) does not comply with one of the threshold values

min(E1) to min(C2).

A time profile of the measurement values for the control parameters over successive analysis processes, for example with a plurality of a different disposable sensors, is finally stored in the third database 130 in the form of quality control logs. To this end, references of disposable sensors, references of disposable sensor batches and/or references of the individual analysis procedures are also stored in the third database 130. In a further step 180, the third database 130, in which the measurement values for the control parameters K(E1) to K(C2) of many analysis procedures are stored over a predetermined period of time, may be evaluated by statistical methods. This is used in a further step 190 for the automatic generation of information about quality-relevant events, for example in order to draw conclusions about the analyzer from the variance of measurement values for at least one of the control parameters K(E1) to K(C2) over many analysis procedures, or from profile observation of measurement values for the control parameters in the scope of a trend, for example for necessary maintenance work. In an analyzer with disposable sensors, conclusions may furthermore be made about their production method, which is advantageous especially in conjunction with the provision of batch-specific control parameters.

The systematic procedure described above advantageously forces each analysis process to be examined rigorously in respect of quality-relevant sub-processes. If the analyzer is modified, other sub-processes can furthermore be added in a straightforward and rapid fashion, or existing sub-processes may be modified.

As an exemplary embodiment of the invention for carrying out the quality control method, Figure 2 shows an analyzer which comprises an evaluation unit 210 as a base unit and as subunits of the analyzer, for example, blood-fillable thumbsize disposable sensors 220 intended for

insertion into the analyzer 210. Each of the disposable sensors 220 furthermore comprises a memory chip 225 which, inter alia, carries information for the analyzer 210 concerning which special program should be run and how the
5   evaluation should be carried out.

The first database 110 may be stored either in the analyzer or on a computer workstation 230. To this end, the evaluation unit 210 is set up so that it can be connected via an electrically engineered data connection,
10   especially the Internet 250, to a computer workstation 230. In another version, the evaluation unit 210 may even comprise a correspondingly designed computer workstation. The process description specific to the analysis system is compiled from the basic sub-processes of the first
15   database 110 on the computer workstation 230. The completed process description is then transferred from the computer workstation 230 to an electronic data memory in the analyzer, where it is stored as the second database 120. In one embodiment, the electronic data memory may
20   even be a memory chip 225 accommodated on the disposable sensor 220. During the analysis procedures, the measurement values that are determined are likewise stored in the aforementioned data memory in the scope of the third database 130. The evaluation of the measurement
25   values saved in the third database 130 in the form of quality control logs is carried out automatically either in the analyzer or, preferably, on a further computer workstation 240 which has access to the databases 120 and 130 via an electrically engineered data connection, for
30   example the Internet 250. Warning messages are automatically generated and sent to the user and/or the manufacturer of the analyzer 210 or of the disposable sensors 220 when quality deficiencies are identified.